Bridge Matching Schrödinger Bridges

MFO Mini-Workshop: Statistical Challenges for Deep Generative Models

Stefano Peluchetti

Sakana Al

Applications:

- ▶ distribution (generative) modeling: \mathcal{D}^{y}
- ▶ conditional distribution modeling: $\mathcal{D}^{y|x}$
- ▶ alignment of unpaired data: \mathcal{D}^{x} , \mathcal{D}^{y}
- sampling from unnormalized density (not discussed in this talk)

We always assume that samples are available from the target distributions

Diffusion process $X \sim P$ is the *d*-dimensional Markov process solution to the SDE $dX_t = \mu(X_t, t)dt + \sigma(t)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1]$ $X_0 \sim P_0,$

for some drift function $\mu(x, t) : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and scalar diffusion $\sigma(t) : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

Differential form is shorthand for

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \mu(X_s, s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) dW_s$$

Euler-Maruyama discretization is

$$X_{t+\Delta t} \approx X_t + \mu(X_t, t)\Delta t + \sigma(t)\Delta W_t, \quad \Delta W_t \coloneqq W_{t+\Delta t} - W_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Delta t|_d),$$
for some step size Δt

 $X \sim P$ diffusion associated to

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t, t)dt + \sigma(t)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

$$X_0 \sim P_0$$

The time reversal (dt is negative, W_t is not the same BM) is still a diffusion with SDE

$$dX_t = -\upsilon(X_t, t)dt + \sigma(t)dW_t, \quad t \in [1, 0],$$

$$-\upsilon(x, t) \coloneqq \mu(x, t) - \sigma(t)^2 \nabla_x \log p_t(x),$$

$$X_0 \sim P_1,$$

(Anderson, 1982)

Sohl-Dickstein et al. (2015); Ho et al. (2020); Song et al. (2021)...

Initial target distribution $P_0 = D^y$

Ergodic / vanishing SNR: $P_{1|0} \approx \mathcal{I}$ for some simple distribution \mathcal{I}

Scalable score-matching (Hyvärinen, 2005; Vincent, 2011) to learn $\nabla_x \log p_t(x)$ as

$$\nabla_{x} \log p_{t}(x) = \frac{\nabla_{x} \int p_{t|0}(x|x_{0})p_{0}(x_{0})dx_{0}}{p_{t}(x)} = \int \nabla_{x} \log p_{t|0}(x|x_{0}) \frac{p_{t|0}(x|x_{0})p_{0}(x_{0})}{p_{t}(x)}dx_{0}$$
$$= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{P}[\nabla_{X_{t}} \log p_{t|0}(X_{t}|X_{0})|X_{t} = x]$$
$$= \mathop{\arg\min}_{\alpha(x,t)} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{P}[|| \nabla_{X_{t}} \log p_{t|0}(X_{t}|X_{0}) - \alpha(X_{t},t)||^{2}]$$

 $X \sim P$ diffusion associated to

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t, t)dt + \sigma(t)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

$$X_0 \sim P_0$$

Pinning down this diffusion at (x_0, x_1) gives $X \sim P_{|0,1}$

Doob's h-transform: it is still a diffusion associated to

$$dX_t = b(X_t, t, x_1)dt + \sigma(t)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

$$b(x, t, x_1) \coloneqq \mu(x, t) + \sigma(t)^2 \nabla_x \log p_{1|t}(x_1|x),$$

$$X_0 = x_0$$

Construct process $X \sim \Pi$ by $\Pi \coloneqq \Psi_{0,1} P_{|0,1}$

$$\Pi(A) := \int P_{|0,1}(A|x_0, x_1) \Psi_{0,1}(dx_0, dx_1)$$

for some coupling $\Psi_{0,1}$ of two target distributions of interest Ψ_0, Ψ_1

Sample $X \sim \Pi$ by first sampling $(X_0, X_1) \sim \Psi_{0,1}$, then sampling $X_{t \in (0,1)} \sim P_{|0,1}$ Non-Markov diffusion

$$dX_{t} = [\mu(X_{t}, t) + \sigma(t)^{2} \nabla_{x} \log p_{1|t}(X_{1}|X_{t})]dt + \sigma(t)dW_{t}, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

(X₀, X₁) ~ $\Psi_{0,1}$

 $X \sim \Pi$ Ito process with differential

$$dX_t = \mu(t, \omega)dt + \sigma(t, \omega)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

$$X_0 \sim \Pi_0$$

for some nonanticipative processes $\mu(t, \omega), \sigma(t, \omega)$

Consider $X \sim M$ associated to

$$dX_t = m(X_t, t)dt + \sigma(X_t, t)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

$$X_0 \sim \Pi_0$$

with $m(x, t) := \mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[\mu(t, \omega)|X_t = x], \sigma(x, t)\sigma(x, t)^T := \mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[\sigma(t, \omega)\sigma(t, \omega)^T|X_t = x]$ Then, $\Pi_t = M_t, 0 \le t \le 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Case} \, dX_t &= \mu^{\lambda}(X_t, t) dt + \sigma^{\lambda}(X_t, t) dW_t, \, \Sigma^{\lambda}(x, t) \coloneqq \sigma(x, t) \sigma(x, t)^{\mathsf{T}} \\ (\pi_t(x))_t &= \left(\int_{\Lambda} p_t^{\lambda}(x) \mathcal{L}(d\lambda) \right)_t = \int_{\Lambda} \left(p_t^{\lambda}(x) \right)_t \mathcal{L}(d\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\Lambda} \left(\mu^{\lambda}(x, t) p_t^{\lambda}(x) \right)_x + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Sigma^{\lambda}(x, t) p_t^{\lambda}(x) \right)_{xx} \mathcal{L}(d\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\Lambda} \left(\frac{\mu^{\lambda}(x, t) p_t^{\lambda}(x)}{\pi_t(x)} \pi_t(x) \right)_x + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Sigma^{\lambda}(x, t) p_t^{\lambda}(x)}{\pi_t(x)} \pi_t(x) \right)_{xx} \mathcal{L}(d\lambda) \\ &= \left(\left[\int_{\Lambda} \frac{\mu^{\lambda}(x, t) p_t^{\lambda}(x)}{\pi_t(x)} \mathcal{L}(d\lambda) \right] \pi_t(x) \right)_x + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left[\int_{\Lambda} \frac{\Sigma^{\lambda}(x, t) p_t^{\lambda}(x)}{\pi_t(x)} \mathcal{L}(d\lambda) \right] \pi_t(x) \right)_{xx} \end{aligned}$$

1. Construct process $\Pi = \Psi_{0,1} P_{|0,1}$

2. Perform Markovian marginal matching of Π

$$dX_t = m(X_t, t)dt + \sigma(t)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

$$X_0 \sim \Psi_0$$

with $m(x, t) := \mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[b(X_t, t, X_1)|X_t = x] = \arg\min_{\alpha(x, t)} \mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[\|b(X_t, t, X_1) - \alpha(X_t, t)\|^2]$

Process Π of step 1 is a reciprocal process

Step 2 amounts to a Markovian projection: $M = \arg \min_{Q \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{KL}(\Pi \parallel Q)$

Example

P given by $X_t = \sigma W_t$: $\mu(x, t) = 0$, $\sigma(t) = \sigma$ $P_{|0,1}$ given by $dX_t = \frac{X_1 - X_t}{1 - t} dt + \sigma dW_t$, as $\sigma(t)^2 \nabla_x \log p_{1|t}(x_1|x) = \frac{x_1 - x_t}{1 - t}$ Bridge matching:

$$dX_t = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[X_1|X_t] - X_t}{1 - t} dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

$$X_0 \sim \Psi_0$$

Denoising diffusion: $\mathbb{E}_{P}[X_{1}|X_{t}]$ instead of $\mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[X_{1}|X_{t}]$ (reversed time indexing)

Flow matching (Liu et al., 2022; Liu, 2022) for $\sigma = 0$: $X_t = (1 - t)X_0 + tX_1$ gives $P_{|0,1}$, and $m(x, t) = \mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[X_1 - X_0|X_t]$

| Algorithm Denoising Diffusion | Algorithm Bridge Matching | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1: repeat | 1: repeat | | | | |
| 2: $t \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$ | 2: $t \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$ | | | | |
| 3: $X_1 \sim \mathcal{D}^y$ | 3: $X_1 \sim \mathcal{D}^y$ | | | | |
| 4: | 4: $X_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$ | | | | |
| 5: $X_t \sim \mathcal{N}(X_1, (1-t)\sigma^2 I_d)$ | 5: $X_t \sim \mathcal{N}(tX_1 + (1-t)X_0, t(1-t)\sigma^2 I_d)$ | | | | |
| $6: Y_t \leftarrow \frac{X_1 - X_t}{1 - t}$ | $6: Y_t \leftarrow \frac{X_1 - X_t}{1 - t}$ | | | | |
| 7: $\mathcal{L} \leftarrow \ Y_t - \alpha_{\theta}(X_t, t)\ ^2$ | 7: $\mathcal{L} \leftarrow \ Y_t - \alpha_{\theta}(X_t, t)\ ^2$ | | | | |
| 8: $\theta \leftarrow \operatorname{sgdstep}(\theta, \mathcal{L})$ | 8: $\theta \leftarrow \operatorname{sgdstep}(\theta, \mathcal{L})$ | | | | |
| 9: until convergence | 9: until convergence | | | | |

Result (Generative Modeling)



BM results updates the input coupling

But sometimes we want to learn a given conditional distribution $\Psi_{1|0} = \mathcal{D}^{y|x}$

Option 1: construct DD and BM conditionally on x (one target distribution simple)

Option 2: construct BM based on $\Pi = \delta_x \otimes \mathcal{D}^{y|x} P_{0,1}$ (one-sided mixing), infer $\mathbb{E}_{\Pi}[X_1|X_t, X_0]$, see De Bortoli et al. (2023)

We are given two distributions $\Psi_0 = D^x$ and $\Psi_1 = D^y$ (samples of), and we want to align the distributions by minimizing a cost function $\kappa(x_0, x_1)$

$$E_{0,1} := \underset{C_{0,1} \in \mathcal{C}(\Psi_0, \Psi_1)}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathbb{E}[\kappa(X_1, X_0)] - \varepsilon \mathbb{H}(C_{0,1})$$

We learn a coupling $C_{0,1}$, that is a joint (or conditional) distribution with compatible marginal distributions

The scalar $\varepsilon \geq 0$ is the regularization: simpler to solve, better behaved solutions

Dynamic Schrödinger bridge for reference diffusion P is

$$S := \underset{Q \in \mathcal{M}(\Psi_0, \Psi_1)}{\arg\min} \mathbb{KL}(Q \parallel P)$$

It holds that $S = S_{0,1}P_{|0,1}$, where $S_{0,1}$ is the static Schrödinger bridge for $P_{0,1}$

$$S_{0,1} = \underset{C_{0,1} \in \mathcal{C}(\Psi_{0}, \Psi_{1})}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathbb{K}\mathbb{L}(C_{0,1} || P_{0,1})$$
$$= \underset{C_{0,1} \in \mathcal{C}(\Psi_{0}, \Psi_{1})}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \mathbb{E}\left[-\log p_{1|0}(X_{1}|X_{0})\right] - \mathbb{H}(C_{0,1})$$

Choosing P s.t. $X_t = \sigma W_t$ yields $S_{0,1} = E_{0,1}$ for $\kappa(x_0, x_1) = \frac{1}{2} ||x_0 - x_1||^2$ and $\varepsilon = \sigma^2$

S is characterized by: (i) $X_0 \sim \Psi_0$, $X_1 \sim \Psi_1$, (ii) X reciprocal, (iii) X Markov

S is associated to a stochastic optimal control problem

Iterated Proportional Fitting (IPF)

Replace one marginal at a time, keep conditionals fixed

 $F_{0,1}^{(0)} \leftarrow \Psi_0 P_{1|0}$ $F_{0,1}^{(1)} \leftarrow \Psi_1 F_{0|1}^{(0)}$ $F_{0,1}^{(2)} \leftarrow \Psi_0 F_{1|0}^{(1)}$

• • •

Diffusion IPF (Bortoli et al., 2021; Vargas et al., 2021) extends IPF to dynamic setting

- ▶ each iteration performs a diffusion time reversal
- inference-simulation mismatch
- ▶ forgetting P
- convergence result

Iteratively initialize BM with the coupling from the previous iteration

 $C_{0,1}^{(0)} \leftarrow \Psi_0 \otimes \Psi_1$ $\Pi^{(1)} \leftarrow C_{0,1}^{(0)} P_{|0,1}$ $M^{(1)} \leftarrow BM(\Pi^{(1)})$ $C_{0,1}^{(1)} \leftarrow M_{0,1}^{(1)}$ $\Pi^{(2)} \leftarrow C_{0,1}^{(1)} P_{|0,1}$ $M^{(2)} \leftarrow BM(\Pi^{(2)})$

Valid coupling at each step

No simulation-inference mismatch

Sampling $C_{0,1}^{(i)}$ for $i \ge 1$ is expensive, but caching is more efficient

Each step can be solved forward or backward in time, alternating reduces accumulation of errors

 $\mathbb{KL}(\Pi(C) \parallel P) = \mathbb{KL}(\Pi(C) \parallel M(C)) + \mathbb{KL}(M(C) \parallel P), P \in \mathcal{M}$ $\Rightarrow \mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(i)} \parallel S) \geq \mathbb{KL}(M^{(i)} \parallel S)$ $\mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(i)} || S) = \mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(i)}_{0,1} || S_{0,1}) + \mathbb{E}_{\Pi^{(i)}_{0,1}}[\mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(i)}_{|0,1} || S_{|0,1})] = \mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(i)}_{0,1} || S_{0,1})$ $\mathbb{KL}(\mathcal{M}^{(i)} || S) \ge \mathbb{KL}(\mathcal{M}^{(i)}_{0,1} || S_{0,1}) = \mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(i+1)}_{0,1} || S_{0,1})$ $\Rightarrow \mathbb{KL}(\mathcal{M}^{(i)} \parallel S) \geq \mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(i+1)} \parallel S)$ $\mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(i)} \parallel S) \geq \mathbb{KL}(M^{(i)} \parallel S) \geq \mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(i+1)} \parallel S)$ $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(i)} \parallel S) - \mathbb{KL}(\mathcal{M}^{(i)} \parallel S) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(i)} \parallel \mathcal{M}^{(i)}) = 0$ $\Pi^{(l)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \Pi^{(\infty)} M^{(l)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} M^{(\infty)}$ $0 = \liminf_{l \to \infty} \mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(l)} \parallel \mathcal{M}^{(l)}) \ge \mathbb{KL}(\Pi^{(\infty)} \parallel \mathcal{M}^{(\infty)})$

For $d \ge 1$, $\Psi_0 = \mathcal{N}_d(\mu_0, \Sigma_0)$, $\Upsilon_1 = \mathcal{N}_d(\mu_1, \Sigma_1)$, and P with $X_t = \sigma dW_t$

$$S_{0,1} = \mathcal{N}_{2d} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mu_0 \\ \mu_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_0 & \Sigma_S(\sigma) \\ \Sigma_S(\sigma)^T & \Sigma_1 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

where $\Sigma_S(\sigma) \coloneqq (\Sigma_0 \Sigma_1 + \frac{\sigma^4}{4} I_d)^{1/2} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} I_d$

Gaussian Experiment – Part II

Consider Gaussian coupling $C_{0,1} \in C(\Psi_0, \Psi_1)$ parametrized by Σ_C $\Pi_{0,t,1}$ is Gaussian and

$$\mathbb{E}[X_1|X_t] = \mu_1 + \Sigma_{\Pi;t,1}^{-1} \Sigma_{\Pi;t,t}^{-1} (X_t - \mu_t)$$

with $\mu_t := (1 - t)\mu_0 + t\mu_1$, $\Sigma_{\Pi;t,1} := (1 - t)\Sigma_C + t\Sigma_1$, and
 $\Sigma_{\Pi;t,t} := (1 - t)^2 \Sigma_0 + t^2 \Sigma_1 + t(1 - t)(\Sigma_C + \Sigma_C^{\mathsf{T}} + \sigma^2 I_d)$

BM SDE of the form $dX_t = (A_tX_t + b_t)dt + \sigma dW_t$: Gaussian transitions

 $M_{0,1}$ is Gaussian parametrized by $\Sigma_{C'}$, update $\Sigma_C \rightarrow \Sigma_{C'} \coloneqq \Sigma_0 F_1^T$ where F_t solves the matrix-valued ODE

$$dF_t = A_t F_t, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

$$F_0 = I_d$$

Gaussian Experiment – Part III



Coupled Bridge Matching (BM² Peluchetti (2024); De Bortoli et al. (2024))

Can we do away with iterations?

For simplicity, consider the reference process $X_t = \sigma W_t$

Define forward and backward SDEs

$$X_{0} \sim \Psi_{0}, \quad dX_{t} = \mu_{f}(X_{t}, t, \theta)dt + \sigma dW_{t}, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

$$X_{1} \sim \Psi_{1}, \quad dX_{t} = -\upsilon_{b}(X_{t}, t, \theta)dt + \sigma dW_{t}, \quad t \in [1, 0]$$

$$(B(\theta))$$

Learn each SDE by performing BM based on the coupling from the other SDE S is a fixed point of the updates defined by $F' \leftarrow BM(B_{0,1})$ and $B' \leftarrow BM(F_{0,1})$ Just matching the two SDEs *does not* result in a Schrödinger bridge

Define the losses

$$\mathbb{L}_{f}(\boldsymbol{\theta};\boldsymbol{\theta}') \coloneqq \mathbb{E}_{\Pi^{B_{0,1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}')}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \left\| \frac{X_{1} - X_{t}}{1 - t} - \mu_{f}(X_{t}, t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right\|^{2} dt \right]$$
$$\mathbb{L}_{b}(\boldsymbol{\theta};\boldsymbol{\theta}') \coloneqq \mathbb{E}_{\Pi^{F_{0,1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}')}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \left\| \frac{X_{0} - X_{t}}{t} - \upsilon_{b}(X_{t}, t, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right\|^{2} dt \right]$$
$$\mathbb{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta};\boldsymbol{\theta}') \coloneqq \mathbb{L}_{f}(\boldsymbol{\theta};\boldsymbol{\theta}') + \mathbb{L}_{b}(\boldsymbol{\theta};\boldsymbol{\theta}')$$

Take a gradient descent step on $\mathbb{L}(heta; heta')$ in heta only, with heta' = heta

Algorithm BM² – training loss computation

1: $f_{0} \sim \Psi_{0}$ 2: $f_{\Delta t}, \ldots, f_1 | f_0 \sim \operatorname{sg}(\operatorname{discretize}(f_0, \Delta t, \mu_f(\cdot, \cdot, \theta)))$ 3: $b_1 \sim \Psi_1$ 4: $b_{1-\Lambda t}, \ldots, b_{0} | b_{1} \sim \operatorname{sg}(\operatorname{discretize}(b_{1}, \Delta t, U_{b}(\cdot, \cdot, \theta)))$ 5: $t \sim U(0, 1)$ 6: $pf_t \sim P_{t|0,1}(\cdot | f_0, f_1)$ 7: $pb_t \sim P_{t|0,1}(\cdot | b_0, b_1)$ 8: $I_f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \|\frac{b_1 - pb_t}{1 + t} - \mu_f(pb_t, t, \boldsymbol{\theta})\|^2$ 9: $I_b(\theta) \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \| \frac{f_0 - pf_t}{t} - U_b(pf_t, t, \theta) \|^2$ 10: $l(\theta) \leftarrow l_f(\theta) + l_b(\theta)$

Marginal sampling

Discretization

Marginal sampling

Discretization

▶ Time sampling

Bridge sampling

Bridge sampling

▶ BM based on B_{0,1}

▶ BM based on F_{0,1}

Both Diffusion IPF and IBM can be cast as the complete-minimization version of BM^2 with specific drift initializations

First learn BM in both time directions due to simulation-inference mismatch

A single neural network suffices

Simpler and more computationally efficient implementation

Limitations:

- somewhat computationally intensive (still)
- ▶ case $\sigma \approx$ 0 is problematic
- this (efficient) version limited to simple dynamics / costs

Mixture of Gaussians benchmark

Monte Carlo estimate of $\mathbb{KL}(S \parallel P)$ as function of ε and d, standard deviation in gray:

| Method | ε=0.1 | | | | <i>ε</i> =1 | | | <i>ε</i> =10 | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | d=2 | d=16 | d=64 | d=128 | d=2 | d=16 | d=64 | d=128 | d=2 | d=16 | d=64 | d=128 |
| BM ² | 0.01 0.01 | 0.20 0.02 | 1.03 0.07 | 3.06 0.16 | 0.01 0.00 | 0.11 0.00 | 1.43 0.03 | 8.29 0.36 | 0.11 0.01 | 2.25 0.04 | 13.13 0.13 | 40.46 0.49 |
| IBM | 0.03 | 0.20 | 1.24 | 5.70 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 1.94 | 7.79 | 0.16 0.00 | 4.09 | 17.17 | 49.17 |
| DIPF | 0.59 0.14 | 2.39 0.05 | 7.93 1.23 | 34.77 0.82 | 0.23 | 1.21 0.18 | 13.13 0.79 | 36.51 1.05 | 0.81 0.06 | 28.25 2.12 | 113.8 7.2 | 345.8 8.1 |



Q & A

Anderson, B. D. (1982). Reverse-Time Diffusion Equation Models. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 12(3):313–326.

Bortoli, V. D., Thornton, J., Heng, J., and Doucet, A. (2021). Diffusion Schrödinger Bridge with Applications to Score-Based Generative Modeling. In *Thirty-Fifth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*.

De Bortoli, V., Korshunova, I., Mnih, A., and Doucet, A. (2024). Schr\"odinger Bridge Flow for Unpaired Data Translation.

De Bortoli, V., Liu, G.-H., Chen, T., Theodorou, E. A., and Nie, W. (2023). Augmented Bridge Matching.

Gyöngy, I. (1986). Mimicking the one-dimensional marginal distributions of processes having an ito differential. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 71(4):501–516.

Ho, J., Jain, A., and Abbeel, P. (2020). Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models. In Larochelle, H., Ranzato, M., Hadsell, R., Balcan, M. F., and Lin, H., editors, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 33, pages 6840–6851.

Hyvärinen, A. (2005). Estimation of Non-Normalized Statistical Models by Score Matching. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6(24):695–709.

Liu, Q. (2022). Rectified Flow: A Marginal Preserving Approach to Optimal Transport.

Liu, X., Gong, C., and Liu, Q. (2022). Flow Straight and Fast: Learning to Generate and Transfer Data with Rectified Flow.

Peluchetti, S. (2021). Non-Denoising Forward-Time Diffusions.

Peluchetti, S. (2023). Diffusion Bridge Mixture Transports, Schrödinger Bridge Problems and Generative Modeling. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 24(374):1–51.

Peluchetti, S. (2024). BM\$^2\$: Coupled Schrödinger Bridge Matching.

Transactions on Machine Learning Research.

Shi, Y., De Bortoli, V., Campbell, A., and Doucet, A. (2023). Diffusion Schrödinger Bridge Matching.

Sohl-Dickstein, J., Weiss, E., Maheswaranathan, N., and Ganguli, S. (2015). Deep Unsupervised Learning using Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics. In *Proceedings* of the 32nd International Conference on Machine Learning, volume 37 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 2256–2265. PMLR.

- Song, Y., Sohl-Dickstein, J., Kingma, D. P., Kumar, A., Ermon, S., and Poole, B. (2021). Score-Based Generative Modeling through Stochastic Differential Equations. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- Vargas, F., Thodoroff, P., Lamacraft, A., and Lawrence, N. (2021). Solving Schrödinger Bridges via Maximum Likelihood. *Entropy*, 23(9):1134.
- Vincent, P. (2011). A Connection Between Score Matching and Denoising Autoencoders. *Neural Computation*, 23(7):1661–1674.